Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/682,422	DIENNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James O. Hansen	3637
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>In condition for allowance</u>		
(1) <u>James O. Hansen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Eric Krischke.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 April 2009</u>	Time: <u>~</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Pending prior art rejection concerning claims 7 & 9		
Claims discussed: 1, 3-7 & 9-21		
Prior art documents discussed: Sulcek, Vogler and Michael et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/James O. Hansen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an effort to expedite the prosecution of the application process [compact prosecution], the examiner contacted applicant's representative and proposed amendments to the claims [basically, amending claim 1 in order to overcome a pending 112(2) clarity issue due in part from the last amendments to the claim, while canceling claims 7 & 9 in order to obviate the pending anticipatory prior art rejection in view of Michael previously made of record, and canceling the remaining non-elected claims not dependent upon allowable generic claim 1] so as to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes as outlined in the attached examiners amendment.